UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204

COPY MAILED

SEP 1 7 2007

In re Application of

Oran, et al.

Application No. 10/723,118

Filed: November 26, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 2705-311

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed March 7, 2005 (certificate of mailing date March 3, 2005), under 37 CFR 1.181, requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the February 26, 2004 Notice to File Corrected Application Papers, which set a two (2) month shortened statutory period for reply. Accordingly, a reply was due on or before April 26, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on February 16, 2005.

Petitioner states that a timely reply was mailed via certificate of mailing on April 26, 2004, which included the following papers: a transmittal letter, a copy of the February 26, 2004 Notice, and seven sheets of formal drawings (comprising 7 figures). Petitioner has submitted a copy of the previously mailed correspondence, which bears a certificate of mailing dated April 26, 2004, which would have rendered the reply timely if received.

The file record does not include the originally submitted papers. Failure to receive correspondence which includes a certificate of mailing or certificate of facsimile transmission is addressed in 37 CFR 1.8(b), reproduced below:

In the event that correspondence is considered timely filed by being mailed or transmitted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, but not received in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office after a reasonable amount of time has elapsed from the time of mailing or transmitting of the correspondence, or after the application is held to be abandoned, or after the proceeding is dismissed, terminated, or decided with prejudice, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:

- (1) Informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence;
- (2) Supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence and certificate; and
- (3) Includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Director to the previous timely mailing or transmission. If the correspondence was sent by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement.

The petition satisfies the above requirements of 37 CFR 1.8(b). Accordingly, the holding of abandonment for failure to timely file a reply to the Notice of February 26, 2004 is hereby withdrawn and the application restored to pending status.

The copy of the reply received with the petition will be accepted in place of the reply shown to have been mailed (or transmitted by facsimile) on April 26, 2004.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

This application is being referred to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Shure Willis Brantley
Shirene Willis Brantley

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions